

# Path Properties of a Generalized Fractional Brownian Motion

TOMOYUKI ICHIBA, GUODONG PANG, AND MURAD S. TAQQU

ABSTRACT. The generalized fractional Brownian motion is a Gaussian self-similar process whose increments are not necessarily stationary. It appears in applications as the scaling limit of a shot noise process with a power law shape function and non-stationary noises with a power-law variance function. In this paper we study sample path properties of the generalized fractional Brownian motion, including Hölder continuity, path non-differentiability, and functional and local Law of the Iterated Logarithms.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

We consider the generalized fractional Brownian motion (GFBM)  $X := \{X(t) : t \in \mathbb{R}_+\}$  defined via the following (time-domain) integral representation:

$$\{X(t)\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \stackrel{d}{=} \left\{ c \int_{\mathbb{R}} ((t-u)_+^\alpha - (-u)_+^\alpha) |u|^{-\gamma/2} B(du) \right\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}, \quad (1.1)$$

where

$$\gamma \in [0, 1), \quad \alpha \in \left( -\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\gamma}{2}, \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\gamma}{2} \right), \quad (1.2)$$

and  $c = c(\alpha, \gamma) \in \mathbb{R}_+$  is the normalization constant. Here,  $B(du)$  is a Gaussian random measure on  $\mathbb{R}$  with the Lebesgue control measure  $du$ . It is shown in Proposition 5.1 [19] that the process  $X$  is a continuous mean-zero Gaussian process with  $X(0) = 0$ , and has the self-similarity property with Hurst parameter

$$H = \alpha - \frac{\gamma}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \in (0, 1). \quad (1.3)$$

This process arises as the scaling limit of the so-called power-law non-stationary shot noise processes which have the shot shape function of power-law with parameter  $\alpha$  and the non-stationary noise distributions with a power-law variance function of parameter  $\gamma$ . This is established in [19]. With i.i.d. (stationary) noises, the scaled power-law shot noise processes converge to the standard FBM, see, e.g., [20, Chapter 3.4] and [14]. Note that the power-law in the shot shape function captures the long range dependence while the power-law in the non-stationary noises captures the dispersions of their variabilities, and thus does not contribute to the long range dependence.

---

*Date:* September 17, 2020.

*Key words and phrases.* Gaussian self-similar process, non-stationary increments, generalized fractional Brownian motion, Hölder continuity, path non-differentiability, functional and local Law of the Iterated Logarithms.

The GFBM  $X$  in (1.1) is a natural generalization of the standard FBM, since it preserves the same long range dependence structure as FBM, while the power-law perturbation of the (Brownian) Gaussian random measure not only introduces non-stationarity (in the increments) but also preserves the important self-similarity property.

An alternative view of the  $H$ -self-similar process  $X$  is the following representation for the given Hurst parameter  $H \in (0, 1)$  and a (scale/shift) parameter  $\gamma \in (0, 1)$ :

$$\{X(t)\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \stackrel{d}{=} \left\{ c \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left( (t-u)_+^{H-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{\gamma}{2}} - (-u)_+^{H-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{\gamma}{2}} \right) |u|^{-\gamma/2} B(du) \right\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}. \quad (1.4)$$

Evidently when  $\gamma = 0$ , this becomes the standard FBM  $B^H$ :

$$\{B^H(t)\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \stackrel{d}{=} \left\{ c \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left( (t-u)_+^{H-\frac{1}{2}} - (-u)_+^{H-\frac{1}{2}} \right) B(du) \right\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}. \quad (1.5)$$

Although one may think of  $|u|^{-\gamma/2}$  as a time change of the Brownian motion which introduces non-stationarity increments, we observe from the representation in (1.4) that for a given Hurst parameter value  $H \in (0, 1)$ , the parameter  $\gamma$  also shifts the exponents in  $(t-u)_+^{H-\frac{1}{2}} - (-u)_+^{H-\frac{1}{2}}$  by the positive amount  $\frac{\gamma}{2} \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ . For instance, for  $H = \frac{1}{4}$ , the exponent in the FBM  $B^H$  is  $H - \frac{1}{2} = -\frac{1}{4}$ , but with  $\gamma = \frac{3}{4}$ , the exponent in the process  $X$  becomes  $H - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\gamma}{2} = \frac{1}{8}$ . For another instance, for  $H = \frac{3}{4}$ , the exponent in the FBM  $B^H$  is  $H - \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{4}$ , but with  $\gamma = \frac{3}{4}$ , the exponent in the process  $X$  becomes  $H - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\gamma}{2} = \frac{5}{8}$ . We see that the positive shift in the exponent which makes the function  $(t-u)_+^{H-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{\gamma}{2}} - (-u)_+^{H-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{\gamma}{2}}$  smoother than  $(t-u)_+^{H-\frac{1}{2}} - (-u)_+^{H-\frac{1}{2}}$ . On the other hand, the function  $|u|^{-\gamma/2}$  has the opposite effect, making the paths “rougher”. It is then interesting to ask how the parameter  $\gamma$  affects the path properties of the GFBM.

To answer this question, we focus on the sample path properties of the GFBM  $X$ , Hölder continuity, path non-differentiability, and functional and local Law of Iterated Logarithms (LLIL). In Theorem 3.1, we prove that the paths of the process  $X$  are Hölder continuous with parameter  $H - \epsilon$  for  $\epsilon > 0$ . In Theorems 5.1, 6.1 and 6.2, we prove the functional Law of Iterated Logarithm (FLIL) and LLIL as well as an LLIL for the composition of the GFBM  $X$  with itself, which again, depends only on the Hurst parameter  $H$ . These are somewhat surprising results, indicating that the nice path properties are preserved by the construction of the GFBM  $X$  in (1.1) and (1.4), and are not being affected by the parameter  $\gamma$ . We also prove the non-differentiability of the paths of the GFBM in Theorem 4.1.

It is worth mentioning that all these properties of the standard FBM  $B^H$  rely critically on the stationary increment property, i.e., the familiar elegant covariance function and the second moment of its increment (see (2.1) and (2.2)). The proofs of these properties are relatively straightforward,

and have become standard textbook materials [20]. However, for the GFBM  $X$  in (1.1), non-stationary increments result in a rather complicated covariance function (see (2.3)). For the proof of the Hölder continuity, we provide a useful decomposition of the increment of the GFBM  $X$ , and then evaluate their increments separately. This decomposition may turn out to be useful in other purposes. For the other properties, we draw upon some important results that were established for general Gaussian processes (some with self-similarity properties), for example, the (non)differentiability property by Yeh [24], FLIL by Taqqu [21], and local LIL and compositions of certain Gaussian processes with itself by Arcones [1]. For the GFBM  $X$  in (1.1), due to its non-stationary increment property and the particular structure of its covariance function, it is challenging to verify some of the technical conditions imposed in these results. The proofs of the non-differentiability and FLIL rely critically upon the Hölder continuity property we establish.

We also remark that the GFBM  $X$  in (1.1) is different from the so-called Brownian semi-stationary (BSS) processes introduced by Barndorff-Nielsen and Schmiegel [5], which was used to study volatility/intermittency inference problems in financial markets. The process was introduced to circumvent the non-semimartingale issues on the inference problems concerning the underlying volatility process based on realized quadratic variation (see the multi-power variation for BSS process in [4]). However, their assumptions on the spot intermittency process exclude functions of the type  $|u|^{-\gamma/2}$  as we assume (see, for example, equation (4.7) in [4]).

FBMs have been recently used to study “rough” volatility [12, 8, 16]. On the other hand, non-stationary increments have been well recognized in various financial data, see, e.g., [7, 18]. The GFBM  $X$  in (1.1) and the path properties studied in this paper may be useful in the subject of “rough” volatility.

We start with some preliminary results on basic properties of the GFBM  $X$  in the next section. The Hölder continuity, non-differentiability, FLIL and LLIL results are stated and proved in Sections 3, 4, 5 and 6, respectively.

## 2. SOME PRELIMINARIES

A striking distinction from the standard FBM is the non-stationary increment property. Recall that the standard FBM  $B^H$  with the Hurst index  $H$  has the covariance function: for  $s, t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ ,

$$E[B^H(s)B^H(t)] = \frac{1}{2}c^2(t^{2H} + s^{2H} - |t - s|^{2H}), \quad (2.1)$$

and the second moment of its increment:

$$E[(B^H(s) - B^H(t))^2] = c^2|t - s|^{2H}. \quad (2.2)$$

This stationary increment property plays the fundamental role in proving many properties of FBM and the associated processes, for example, stochastic integrals with respect to FBM.

For the GFBM  $X$  in (1.1) the covariance function  $\Psi$  between  $X(s)$  and  $X(t)$  and the second moment function  $\Phi$  of its increment  $X(s) - X(t)$  are given, respectively, by

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi(s, t) &:= \text{Cov}(X(s), X(t)) = E[X(s)X(t)] \\ &= c^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left( ((t-u)_+^\alpha - (-u)_+^\alpha) ((s-u)_+^\alpha - (-u)_+^\alpha) \right) |u|^{-\gamma} du, \\ &= c^2 \int_0^s (t-u)^\alpha (s-u)^\alpha u^{-\gamma} du + c^2 \int_0^\infty ((t+u)^\alpha - u^\alpha)((s+u)^\alpha - u^\alpha) u^{-\gamma} du, \quad (2.3)\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi(s, t) &:= E[(X(s) - X(t))^2] = c^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left( (t-u)_+^\alpha - (s-u)_+^\alpha \right)^2 |u|^{-\gamma} du \\ &= c^2 \int_s^t (t-u)^{2\alpha} u^{-\gamma} du + c^2 \int_0^s ((t-u)^\alpha - (s-u)^\alpha)^2 u^{-\gamma} du \\ &\quad + c^2 \int_0^\infty ((t+u)^\alpha - (s+u)^\alpha)^2 u^{-\gamma} du, \quad 0 \leq s \leq t. \quad (2.4)\end{aligned}$$

When  $\gamma = 0$ , the GFBM  $X$  in (1.1) becomes the standard FBM with the covariance function (2.1) and stationary second moments (2.2) of increments.

For standard FBM  $B^H$ , we usually distinguish two cases:  $H \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$  and  $H \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ , which corresponds to the exponents in (1.5) being negative and positive, respectively. However, for the GFBM  $X$ , we distinguish the following two cases:

$$H \in \left(0, \frac{1-\gamma}{2}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad H \in \left(\frac{1-\gamma}{2}, 1\right), \quad \text{for } \gamma \in [0, 1),$$

which correspond to the exponents in (1.4) being negative or positive. Note that  $\gamma$  can be very close 1, in which case the interval  $(\frac{1-\gamma}{2}, 1)$  in the second scenario becomes very close to  $(0, 1)$ , the whole range of the Hurst parameter  $H$ . The two cases can be also written in terms of  $\alpha$  and  $\gamma$ :

$$\alpha \in \left(-\frac{1-\gamma}{2}, 0\right) \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha \in \left(0, \frac{1+\gamma}{2}\right), \quad \text{for } \gamma \in [0, 1).$$

**Remark 2.1** (The role of  $\gamma$ ). We highlight the following on the role of the parameter  $\gamma$ :

- (i) When  $\gamma \in (0, 1)$ , the increment is not second-order stationary, that is,  $\Phi(s, t)$  is not a function of  $|s - t|$ .
- (ii)  $\text{Var}(c^{-1}X(t)) = c^{-2}\Psi(t, t) = t^{2H}$  is decreasing in  $\gamma$  and increasing  $\alpha$  (where  $c = c(\alpha, \gamma) \in \mathbb{R}_+$  is the normalization parameter in Lemma 2.1).
- (iii) Flexibility for Hurst parameter  $H$ : Figure 2 illustrates the range of  $\alpha$  and  $\gamma$  for the Hurst parameter  $H \in (0, 1)$ . The middle dotted line corresponds to the value  $H = 0.5$  (including the special cases  $(\alpha = 0, \gamma = 0)$  and  $(\alpha \approx 0.5, \gamma \approx 1)$ ), instead of the single value  $H = 0.5$  in the case of BM; see further discussions in Remark 2.2. For  $\alpha \approx 0$  and  $\gamma \approx 1$ , the Hurst




FIGURE 1. The set of parameters  $(\gamma, \alpha)$  given in (1.2) is shown in the shaded area. The boundary points are not included in (1.2). The dotted lines corresponding to the Hurst parameters  $H = 0, 0.5, 1$  are plotted, respectively. The thick line segment corresponds to the FBM with  $H \in (0, 1)$  and  $\gamma = 0$ . In the neighborhood of the point  $\gamma = 1, \alpha = 0$ , the Hurst index is close to 0.

parameter  $H$  can be arbitrarily close to zero, while for  $\alpha \approx -0.5$  and  $\gamma \approx 0$  (which is close to the FBM case), the same is also true.

- (iv) Roughness of paths: Hölder continuity, functional and local Law of Iterated Logarithms hold with the Hurst parameter  $H$  as for the standard FBM  $B^H$ .

**Remark 2.2.** Although the FBM  $B^H$  becomes a standard BM when  $H = 1/2$ , this is not the case for the GFBM  $X$ . The values of  $\alpha$  and  $\gamma$  corresponding to the case  $H = 1/2$  lie in the line  $\alpha - \frac{\gamma}{2} = 0$  for  $\gamma \in [0, 1)$ . The GFBM  $X$  only becomes a standard BM in the special case  $\gamma = 0$ . This is due to the fact that the process  $X$  does not have stationary increments if  $\gamma > 0$ . For  $\gamma \in (0, 1)$  and  $\alpha = \frac{\gamma}{2}$ , the process  $X$  provides an example of a  $H$ -self-similar Gaussian process with Hurst parameter  $H = 1/2$  which is not a BM. We remark that there are  $H$ -self-similar processes with  $H = 1/2$ , that may not be Gaussian, see [3]. It is clear that when  $H = 1/2$  and  $2\alpha = \gamma > 0$  the process  $X$  is not a martingale with respect to the filtration  $\mathcal{F}^B(s) := \sigma\{B(u), u \leq s\}$ ,  $s \in \mathbb{R}$  generated by the BM  $B$ , because for every  $s < t$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} E[X(t) - X(s) | \mathcal{F}^B(s)] &= E \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}} ((t-u)_+^\alpha - (s-u)_+^\alpha) |u|^{-\alpha} B(du) \mid \mathcal{F}^B(s) \right] \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^s ((t-u)^\alpha - (s-u)^\alpha) |u|^{-\alpha} B(du) \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

It is worth mentioning the work on “fake” Brownian motions constructed from martingales in [11, 13].

**Remark 2.3.** In [19, Sections 5.1 and 5.2], generalized FBMs are stated in a more general form with the additional terms involving  $(t-u)_+^\alpha - (-u)_+^\alpha$  in the integrands. This can be treated similarly with additional terms, and so we focus on the representations of  $X$  in (1.1).

**2.1. The normalization constant  $c = c(\alpha, \gamma)$ .** With the increments

$$\tilde{B}(t) := B(-t) - B(0), \quad t \geq 0, \quad (2.5)$$

independent of the increments  $B(t) - B(0)$ ,  $t \geq 0$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} c^{-1}X(t) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} ((t-u)_+^\alpha - (-u)_+^\alpha) |u|^{-\gamma/2} B(du) \\ &= \int_0^t (t-u)^\alpha |u|^{-\gamma/2} B(du) + \int_0^\infty [(t+v)^\alpha v^{-\gamma/2} - v^{\alpha-\gamma/2}] \tilde{B}(dv) \\ &\stackrel{(d)}{=} \left( \int_0^1 (1-v)^\alpha v^{-\gamma/2} B(dv) + \int_0^\infty [(1+v)^\alpha v^{-\gamma/2} - v^{\alpha-\gamma/2}] \tilde{B}(dv) \right) \cdot t^{\alpha-\frac{\gamma}{2}+\frac{1}{2}}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

where the last equality is a distributional identity from the scaling property of Brownian motion, and  $\stackrel{(d)}{=}$  denotes “equal in distribution”. Thus, one can express the constant  $c$  in terms of Beta and Gamma functions as follows.

**Lemma 2.1.** *With*

$$c = c(\alpha, \gamma) := \kappa(\alpha, \gamma)^{-1/2}, \quad (2.7)$$

and

$$\kappa(\alpha, \gamma) := \text{Beta}(1-\gamma, 2\alpha+1) + \left( \frac{\Gamma(1-\gamma)}{\Gamma(-2\alpha)} - \frac{2\Gamma(1+\alpha-\gamma)}{\Gamma(-\alpha)} \right) \Gamma(-1-2\alpha+\gamma), \quad (2.8)$$

the GFBM  $X(t)$  in (1.1) is then normalized:

$$\text{Var}(X(t)) = t^{2\alpha-\gamma+1} = t^{2H}, \quad t \geq 0. \quad (2.9)$$

*Proof.* By the distributional identity (2.6) and the Itô isometry of stochastic integral, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}(c^{-1}X(t)) &= \mathbb{E}[c^{-2}X^2(t)] \\ &= t^{2\alpha-\gamma+1} \cdot \left( \int_0^1 (1-v)^{2\alpha} v^{-\gamma} dv + \int_0^\infty [(1+v)^\alpha v^{-\gamma/2} - v^{\alpha-\gamma/2}]^2 dv \right) = \kappa t^{2H}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

where the last equality can be verified by Mathematica.  $\square$

**Remark 2.4** (Integrability). In (2.10) the indefinite integral over the infinite interval  $(0, \infty)$  appears. Its integrability is verified under the condition (1.2) by direct calculation. As discussed above, we consider the two cases  $0 < \alpha < (1+\gamma)/2$  and  $-(1-\gamma)/2 < \alpha < 0$  for a gamma  $\gamma \in (0, 1)$ .

Indeed, if  $0 < \alpha < (1 + \gamma)/2$ , then  $u \mapsto (1 + u)^\alpha - u^\alpha$  is a decreasing function in  $(0, \infty)$  and by Taylor expansion,  $(1 + u)^\alpha - u^\alpha \leq \alpha u^{\alpha-1}$  for every  $u > 0$ , and hence, the indefinite integral in (2.10) is integrable:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty [(1 + u)^\alpha - u^\alpha]^2 u^{-\gamma} du &= \left( \int_0^1 + \int_1^\infty \right) [(1 + u)^\alpha - u^\alpha]^2 u^{-\gamma} du \\ &\leq \int_0^1 u^{-\gamma} du + \int_1^\infty \alpha^2 u^{2(\alpha-1)-\gamma} du = \frac{1}{1-\gamma} + \frac{\alpha^2}{1+\gamma-2\alpha} =: c_1 < \infty. \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

Similarly, if  $-(1 - \gamma)/2 < \alpha < 0$ , then set  $\tilde{\alpha} := -\alpha \in (0, 1/2)$ ,  $\tilde{\gamma} := -2\alpha + \gamma \in (0, 1)$ . Rewriting the indefinite integral in (2.10) in terms of  $\tilde{\alpha}$  and  $\tilde{\gamma}$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty [(1 + u)^\alpha - u^\alpha]^2 u^{-\gamma} du &= \int_0^\infty \frac{[(1 + u)^{-\alpha} - u^{-\alpha}]^2}{u^{-2\alpha}(1 + u)^{-2\alpha}} u^{-\gamma} du \\ &\leq \int_0^\infty [(1 + u)^{\tilde{\alpha}} - u^{\tilde{\alpha}}]^2 u^{-\tilde{\gamma}} du \leq \frac{1}{1-\tilde{\gamma}} + \frac{\tilde{\alpha}^2}{1+\tilde{\gamma}-2\tilde{\alpha}} < \infty, \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

where we used (2.11) with  $\alpha, \gamma$  being replaced by  $\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\gamma}$  in the second inequality. If  $\alpha = 0$ , the indefinite integral in (2.10) is 0. Thus, under the condition (1.2), the GFBM  $X$  is well defined.

For the standard FBM  $B^H$ , we have  $\text{Var}(c^{-1}B^H(t)) = t^{2H}$ , which is increasing in  $H$  for each  $t > 0$ , and is also increasing in  $t$  for each  $H$ . It is clear that the same properties hold for the process  $X$ . In addition, we observe that  $\text{Var}(X(t))$  is decreasing in  $\gamma$  for each  $t > 0$ .

**Remark 2.5** (Standard FBM). By the recursion formula of the gamma function, when  $\gamma = 0$  and  $\alpha \in (-1/2, 1/2)$ , it is the standard FBM  $B^H$  and the constant  $\kappa$  in (2.8) is reduced to  $\kappa = \Gamma(1 + \alpha)\Gamma(1 - 2\alpha)/[(1 + 2\alpha) \cdot \Gamma(1 - \alpha)]$ .

**2.2. Generalized Riemann-Liouville (R-L) FBM.** A special model is the generalized Riemann-Liouville (R-L) FBM, introduced in Remark 5.1 in [19]. It is defined by

$$X(t) = c \int_0^t (t - u)^\alpha u^{-\gamma/2} B(du), \quad t \geq 0, \quad (2.13)$$

where  $B(du)$  is a Gaussian random measure on  $\mathbb{R}$  with the Lebesgue control measure  $du$  and

$$c \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \gamma \in [0, 1), \quad \alpha \in \left( -\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\gamma}{2}, \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\gamma}{2} \right).$$

Such a process is also a continuous self-similar Gaussian process with Hurst parameter  $H = \alpha - \frac{\gamma}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \in (0, 1)$ . Equivalently, given the Hurst parameter  $H \in (0, 1)$  and a parameter  $\gamma \in [0, 1)$ , the process  $X$  in (2.13) can be represented as

$$X(t) = c \int_0^t (t - u)^{H-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{\gamma}{2}} u^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}} B(du), \quad t \geq 0. \quad (2.14)$$

When  $\gamma = 0$ , this becomes the standard R-L FBM:

$$B^H(t) = c \int_0^t (t - u)^{H-\frac{1}{2}} B(du), \quad t \geq 0. \quad (2.15)$$

This process was introduced by Lévy ([15], see also Chapter 6 in [20]; modulo some constant scaling).

When  $H = 1/2$ , i.e.,  $\alpha = \frac{\gamma}{2}$  for  $\gamma \in [0, 1)$ ,

$$X(t) = c \int_0^t (t/u - 1)^{\gamma/2} B(du) = c \int_0^t (t/u - 1)^\alpha B(du), \quad t \geq 0. \quad (2.16)$$

If  $\gamma = 0$ , then  $X(t) = cB(t)$  is a Brownian motion, but if  $\gamma = 2\alpha \in (0, 1)$ , the increment can be rewritten as

$$X(t) - X(s) = c \int_0^s ((t-u)^\alpha - (s-u)^\alpha) u^{-\alpha} B(du) + \int_s^t (t-u)^\alpha u^{-\alpha} B(du), \quad 0 \leq s < t,$$

indicating the non-stationarity of its increments.

The process  $X$  in (2.13) has the covariance function

$$\Psi(s, t) = E[X(s)X(t)] = c^2 \int_0^s (s-u)^\alpha (t-u)^\alpha u^{-\gamma} du, \quad (2.17)$$

and the second moment of its increment

$$\Phi(s, t) = E[(X(t) - X(s))^2] = c^2 \int_s^t (t-u)^{2\alpha} u^{-\gamma} du + c^2 \int_0^s |(t-u)^\alpha - (s-u)^\alpha|^2 u^{-\gamma} du, \quad (2.18)$$

for  $0 \leq s \leq t$ .

We also have the variance

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}(c^{-1}X(t)) &= \int_0^t (t-u)^{2\alpha} u^{-\gamma} du \\ &= t^{2\alpha-\gamma+1} \int_0^1 (1-v)^{2\alpha} v^{-\gamma} dv = t^{2\alpha-\gamma+1} \text{Beta}(1-\gamma, 2\alpha+1). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, with normalization constant  $c = c(\alpha, \gamma) = (\text{Beta}(1-\gamma, 2\alpha+1))^{-1/2}$ , we have  $\text{Var}(X(t)) = t^{2\alpha-\gamma+1} = t^{2H}$  for  $t \geq 0$ . It is clear that with this normalization factor, we have  $\text{Var}(X(t))$  increasing in  $\alpha$  and decreasing in  $\gamma$  for each fixed  $t > 0$ .

### 3. HÖLDER CONTINUITY

In this section we prove the Hölder continuity property of the GFBM  $X$  in (1.1). For convenience, we assume from now on that the GFBM  $X$  is normalized with  $c = c(\alpha, \gamma)$  given in (2.7).

Recall that for FBM  $B^H$  with Hurst parameter  $H \in (0, 1)$ , by self-similarity, we have

$$E[|B^H(t) - B^H(s)|^p] = |t - s|^{pH} E[|B^H(1)|^p]$$

for any  $p > 0$ . Then the Hölder continuity property follows from applying the Kolmogorov-Centsov continuity criterion. Namely, the FBM  $B^H$  admits a version whose sample paths are almost surely Hölder continuous of order strictly less than  $H$ .

Due to the lack of stationary increments, the proof of the Hölder continuity property of the GFBM  $X$  requires a delicate study of the second moment of the increment.



**Theorem 3.1.** *For every  $T > 0$ , there exists a positive constant  $C_T$  such that the covariance function  $\Phi$  in (2.4) satisfies  $\Phi(s, t) \leq C_T |s - t|^{2H}$  for  $0 < s < t \leq T$ , and hence, by Kolmogorov-Centsov continuity criterion, the sample path  $t \mapsto X(t)$  of the Gaussian process  $X$  in (1.1) is almost surely  $\alpha_0$ -Hölder continuous for  $0 \leq t \leq T$  with  $0 < \alpha_0 < H = (2\alpha - \gamma + 1)/2$ .*

*Proof.* When  $\gamma = 0$ , it is the case of FBM with Hurst index  $\alpha + 1/2 \in (1/2, 1)$ , and the result of Hölder continuity is known. Thus, let us consider the case with  $c = 1$  and  $\gamma \neq 0$ . First, let us decompose  $X(t) - X(s)$  from (2.6) into three independent components  $\mathcal{C}_1, \mathcal{C}_2, \mathcal{C}_3$ :

$$\begin{aligned} X(t) - X(s) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} ((t-u)_+^\alpha - (-u)_+^\alpha) |u|^{-\gamma/2} B(du) - \int_{\mathbb{R}} ((s-u)_+^\alpha - (-u)_+^\alpha) |u|^{-\gamma/2} B(du) \\ &=: \mathcal{C}_1 + \mathcal{C}_2 + \mathcal{C}_3, \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_1 &:= \int_0^s [(t-u)^\alpha - (s-u)^\alpha] u^{-\gamma/2} B(du), \\ \mathcal{C}_2 &:= \int_s^t (t-u)^\alpha |u|^{-\gamma/2} B(du), \\ \mathcal{C}_3 &:= \int_{-\infty}^0 ((t-u)_+^\alpha - (-u)_+^\alpha) |u|^{-\gamma/2} B(du) \\ &= \int_0^\infty [(t+u)^\alpha - (s+u)^\alpha] u^{-\gamma/2} \tilde{B}(du) \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

with  $\tilde{B}$  being given in (2.5). Thus, we have

$$\Phi(s, t) = E[(X(t) - X(s))^2] = E[\mathcal{C}_1^2 + \mathcal{C}_2^2 + \mathcal{C}_3^2],$$

and hence, we shall evaluate squared expectation of these three terms separately. It is worth noting that all the three summands are basically of the same order (all are less than or equal to  $C|t-s|^{2H}$  for some  $C > 0$ ).

• **(Evaluation of  $\mathcal{C}_1$ ).** By the change of variables with  $u = s - (t-s)v$  and  $v = xw$ ,  $x = s/(t-s)$  for every  $s, t$  with  $0 < s < t \leq T$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} E[\mathcal{C}_1^2] &= \int_0^s |(t-u)^\alpha - (s-u)^\alpha|^2 u^{-\gamma} du \\ &= \int_0^{s/(t-s)} (t-s)^{2\alpha+1-\gamma} \left(\frac{s}{t-s} - v\right)^{-\gamma} ((1+v)^\alpha - v^\alpha)^2 dv \\ &= (t-s)^{2H} \left( \int_0^1 (1-w)^{-\gamma} ((1+xw)^\alpha - (xw)^\alpha)^2 x^{1-\gamma} dw \right) \Big|_{x=s/(t-s)}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

When  $0 < \alpha < (1 + \gamma)/2$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^1 (1-w)^{-\gamma} ((1+xw)^\alpha - (xw)^\alpha)^2 x^{1-\gamma} dw \\
&= \int_0^1 (1-w)^{-\gamma} w^{\gamma-1} ((1+xw)^\alpha - (xw)^\alpha)^2 (xw)^{1-\gamma} dw \\
&\leq \sup_{y>0} \{((1+y)^\alpha - y^\alpha)^2 y^{1-\gamma}\} \cdot \int_0^1 (1-w)^{-\gamma} w^{\gamma-1} dw \\
&\leq 4 \text{Beta}(1-\gamma, \gamma) < \infty,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

where we used the inequality

$$\sup_{y>0} ((1+y)^\alpha - y^\alpha)^2 y^{1-\gamma} \leq \max \left\{ \sup_{y \geq 1} ((1+y)^\alpha - y^\alpha)^2 y^{1-\gamma}, \sup_{0 < y \leq 1} ((1+y)^\alpha - y^\alpha)^2 y^{1-\gamma} \right\} \leq 4. \tag{3.5}$$

To verify (3.5), firstly we use  $(1+y)^\alpha - y^\alpha \leq \alpha y^{\alpha-1}$ ,  $y > 0$ ,  $\alpha > 0$  to obtain

$$((1+y)^\alpha - y^\alpha)^2 y^{1-\gamma} \leq \alpha^2 y^{2(H-1)} \leq \alpha^2 < 1 \quad \text{for } y \geq 1,$$

and secondly, we evaluate

$$((1+y)^\alpha - y^\alpha)^2 y^{1-\gamma} \leq (1+y)^{2\alpha} y^{1-\gamma} \leq 4^\alpha \leq 4 \quad \text{for } 0 < y \leq 1,$$

and then combine the inequalities.

Similarly, when  $-(1-\gamma)/2 < \alpha < 0$ , considering  $\tilde{\alpha} = -\alpha$ ,  $\tilde{\gamma} = -2\alpha + \gamma < 1$ , as we derived in (2.12), and also using a similar inequality to (3.5) (but now with  $\tilde{\alpha}$  and  $\tilde{\gamma}$ , instead of  $\alpha$ ,  $\gamma$ ), we obtain the upper bound for every  $x = s/(t-s) > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^1 (1-w)^{-\gamma} ((1+xw)^\alpha - (xw)^\alpha)^2 x^{1-\gamma} dw \\
&= \int_0^1 (1-w)^{-\gamma} \frac{((1+xw)^{\tilde{\alpha}} - (xw)^{\tilde{\alpha}})^2}{(1+xw)^{2\tilde{\alpha}} (xw)^{2\tilde{\alpha}}} x^{1-\gamma} dw \\
&\leq \int_0^1 (1-w)^{-\gamma} w^{-2\tilde{\alpha}} ((1+xw)^{\tilde{\alpha}} - (xw)^{\tilde{\alpha}})^2 x^{1-\tilde{\gamma}} dw \\
&= \int_0^1 (1-w)^{-\gamma} w^{\tilde{\gamma}-1} ((1+xw)^{\tilde{\alpha}} - (xw)^{\tilde{\alpha}})^2 (xw)^{1-\tilde{\gamma}} dw \\
&\leq \sup_{y>0} \{((1+y)^{\tilde{\alpha}} - y^{\tilde{\alpha}})^2 y^{1-\tilde{\gamma}}\} \cdot \int_0^1 (1-w)^{-\gamma} w^{\tilde{\gamma}-1} dw \\
&\leq 4 \text{Beta}(1-\gamma, \gamma) < \infty.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

Thus, combining (3.4)–(3.6) with (3.3), we claim that there exists a positive constant  $c_3$  such that

$$E[\mathcal{C}_1^2] \leq c_3 (t-s)^{2H}. \tag{3.7}$$

• **(Evaluation of  $\mathcal{C}_2$ ).** Similarly, for the second term in (3.1), by the change of variables with  $u = (t-s)v + s$  and  $H = 2\alpha - \gamma + 1$ , we obtain for  $0 \leq s < t < \infty$ ,

$$E[\mathcal{C}_2^2] = \int_s^t (t-u)^{2\alpha} u^{-\gamma} du = (t-s)^{2\alpha-\gamma+1} \int_0^1 (1-v)^{2\alpha} v^{-\gamma} dv = c_4 |t-s|^{2H}, \tag{3.8}$$

where  $c_4 := \text{Beta}(1 + 2\alpha, 1 - \gamma)$ . This holds for every  $\alpha > -1/2$ .

• **(Evaluation of  $\mathcal{C}_3$ ).** For the third term  $\mathcal{C}_3$  in (3.1), when  $0 < \alpha < (1 + \gamma)/2$ , because of (2.11) in Remark 2.4, we have with the constant  $c_1$  in (2.11), for  $s < t$ , with  $x := s/(t - s) > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_x^\infty ((1 + v)^\alpha - v^\alpha)^2 (v - x)^{-\gamma} dv \\ & \leq \int_x^\infty ((1 + v - x)^\alpha - (v - x)^\alpha)^2 (v - x)^{-\gamma} dv \\ & \leq \int_0^\infty ((1 + u)^\alpha - u^\alpha)^2 u^{-\gamma} du \leq c_1. \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

Similarly, when  $-(1 - \gamma)/2 < \alpha < 0$ , again with  $\tilde{\alpha} = -\alpha$  and  $\tilde{\gamma} = -2\alpha + \gamma < 1$ , for every  $s, t$  with  $0 < s < t$  and  $x := s/(t - s) > 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_x^\infty ((1 + v)^\alpha - v^\alpha)^2 (v - x)^{-\gamma} dv \\ & = \int_x^\infty \frac{(1 + v)^{\tilde{\alpha}} - v^{\tilde{\alpha}}}{(1 + v)^{2\tilde{\alpha}} v^{-2\alpha}} \cdot (v - x)^{-\gamma} dv \\ & \leq \int_x^\infty ((1 + v)^{\tilde{\alpha}} - v^{\tilde{\alpha}})^2 \cdot (v - x)^{2\alpha - \gamma} dv \\ & \leq \int_0^\infty ((1 + u)^{\tilde{\alpha}} - u^{\tilde{\alpha}})^2 u^{-\tilde{\gamma}} du < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

where we used (2.12) in the last part of inequalities.

Then for  $0 < s < t$ , by changing the variables with  $u = (t - s)v - s$  and then using (3.9)-(??) separately, we claim that there exists a positive constant  $c_5$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} E[\mathcal{C}_3^2] & = \int_0^\infty [(t + u)^\alpha - (s + u)^\alpha]^2 u^{-\gamma} du \\ & = (t - s)^{2H} \int_{s/(t-s)}^\infty [(1 + v)^\alpha - v^\alpha]^2 \left(v - \frac{s}{t-s}\right)^{-\gamma} dv \\ & \leq c_5 (t - s)^{2H}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

Combining these inequalities (3.7), (3.8), (3.10) with (3.1), we obtain the desired inequality, because the second moments  $E[|X(t)|^2]$  are finite as it is given in (2.9) and for  $0 < s < t < T$ ,

$$\Phi(t, s) \leq (c_3 + c_4 + c_5) \cdot |t - s|^{2\alpha - \gamma + 1}. \quad (3.11)$$

Since  $X$  is a zero-mean Gaussian process,  $X(t) - X(s)$  is a Gaussian random variable with mean 0 and variance  $\Phi(s, t)$ , and hence, its  $2p$ -th moment ( $p \geq 1$ ) can be evaluated by

$$E[|X(t) - X(s)|^{2p}] \leq c_p [\Phi(t, s)]^p \leq c_p C^p |t - s|^{(2\alpha - \gamma + 1)p}$$

for some positive constant  $c_p$  which depends on  $p$ . Then applying the Kolmogorov-Centsov continuity criterion (e.g., Theorem 1.2.1 of REVUZ & YOR (1991)), we conclude that the sample paths of the GFBM  $X$  in (1.1) is  $\alpha_0$ -Hölder continuous on every finite interval  $[0, T]$  with probability one for  $0 < \alpha_0 < H = (2\alpha - \gamma + 1)/2$ .  $\square$

**Remark 3.1.** When  $\gamma$  is close to 1 and  $\alpha > 0$ , the Hurst parameter  $H$  can be chosen with  $H < 1/2$ . Thus, Theorem 3.1 covers the whole range of  $H \in (0, 1)$ .

For the generalized R-L FBM  $X$  in (2.13), the same Hölder continuity property holds.

**Remark 3.2.** Consider  $X(t) = \int_0^t \kappa(t, u)B(du)$ ,  $t \geq 0$  with a Volterra kernel  $\kappa(t, u) = (t - u)^\alpha u^{-\gamma/2}$ . This process or similar processes have been recently studied by Yazigi (2015) [23]. By Theorem 2.1 in [23], the Volterra kernel  $\kappa$  can be written as

$$k(t, u) = t^{H-1/2}F(u/t); \quad t \geq 0, 0 \leq u \leq t,$$

where  $H = \alpha - \gamma/2 + 1/2$  is the Hurst parameter, and  $F(v) = (1 - v)^\alpha v^{-\gamma/2}$  and  $F(v) \equiv 0$  for  $v > 1$  (it is clear that  $F \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, du)$ , i.e.,  $\int_{\mathbb{R}} |F(u)|^2 du < \infty$ .) In the related work [2], necessary and sufficient conditions are derived for Hölder continuity of such self-similar processes. The condition involves the function  $\Phi(s, t)$ , and is closely related to the Fernique's theorem on the continuity of Gaussian processes. By Theorem 1 in [2], we obtain that there exist constant  $c_\epsilon$  such that the function  $\Phi(s, t)$  in (2.18) satisfies

$$\Phi(s, t)^{1/2} \leq c_\epsilon |t - s|^{H-\epsilon}, \quad \text{for all } \epsilon > 0.$$

On the other hand, the proof of Theorem 1 in [2] uses the Garsia-Rademich-Rumsey inequality (see Lemma 2 in [2]), which unfortunately only holds on the finite time interval  $[0, T]$ . We are unable to prove the Hölder continuity property with that approach for the GFBM  $X(t)$  in (1.1).

**Remark 3.3.** For standard FBM  $B^H$ , it is shown in Theorem 1.6.1 in [10] that the local Law of Iterated Logarithm holds:

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{|B^H(t)|}{t^H \sqrt{\log \log t^{-1}}} = c_H \tag{3.12}$$

with probability one for some appropriate constant  $c_H > 0$ . This implies that  $B^H$  cannot have sample paths with Hölder continuity of order greater than  $H = \alpha + 1/2$ . For the GFBM  $X$  in (1.1), we establish the local Law of Iterated Logarithm in Section 6, see Theorem 6.1. That result will imply that the process  $X$  cannot have sample paths with Hölder continuity of order greater than  $H = \alpha - \gamma/2 + 1/2$ .

#### 4. PATH NON-DIFFERENTIABILITY

We prove the following non-differentiability property of the sample paths of  $X$ .

**Theorem 4.1.** *The GFBM  $X$  in (1.1) is not mean square differentiable and does not have differentiable sample paths. In particular, for every  $s \in \mathbb{R}_+$ ,*

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow s} \left| \frac{X(t) - X(s)}{t - s} \right| = +\infty \tag{4.1}$$

with probability one.

For FBM  $B^H$ , this property was established in [17]; see also [10, Proposition 1.7.1]. The proof of (4.1) for FBM  $B^H$  uses its self-similarity and stationary-increment properties, in particular, for  $t > s$ , the law of the ratio  $(B^H(t) - B^H(s))/(t - s)$  is the same as  $(t - s)^{H-1}B^H(1)$ , and the probability of  $\{|B^H(1)| > at_n^{1-H}\}$  converges to zero where  $a > 0$  is any positive constant and  $\{t_n\}$  is any sequence decreasing to zero as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

*Proof.* We apply Theorem of Yeh [24] (see also its correction [25]). It says that if a separable Gaussian process  $\xi = \{\xi(t) : t \in [0, T]\}$  has mean zero, and satisfies the Kolmogorov's continuity condition, and the lower bound: for some  $\alpha, a > 0$ ,

$$E[|\xi(t) - \xi(s)|^2] \geq a|t - s|^\alpha, \quad t, s \in [0, T],$$

then for  $\lambda > \alpha/2$  and for any  $t$ ,

$$\limsup_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{|\xi(t \pm s) - \xi(t)|}{s^\lambda} = +\infty.$$

For the differentiability of sample paths of (1.1) we calculate a lower bound of  $\Phi(s, t)$  in (2.4).

When  $\gamma \in (0, 1)$ ,  $\alpha > 0$ , it follows from the calculation of Hölder continuity for  $0 < s < t$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(s, t) &\geq c^2 \int_s^t (t - u)^{2\alpha} u^{-\gamma} du \\ &\geq c^2 \int_s^{(t+s)/2} (t - u)^{2\alpha} u^{-\gamma} du \\ &\geq c^2 \left(\frac{t+s}{2}\right)^{-\gamma} \cdot \left(\frac{t-s}{2}\right)^{2\alpha+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, if  $\alpha < 1/2$  with  $2\alpha + 1 < 2$ , by the Theorem of Yeh [24], the sample paths are almost nowhere differentiable in  $[0, \infty)$ .

If  $\alpha > 1/2$ , then the process  $X$  is a semimartingale of finite variation with the following representation

$$X(t) = \int_0^t \left( \int_{-\infty}^t \alpha(r-s)_+^{\alpha-1} |s|^{-\gamma/2} dB(s) \right) dr, \quad t \geq 0.$$

This follows from a stochastic version of Fubini theorem (Theorem 4.6 of [6]), because for  $0 < r < t$ , the stochastic integral

$$h_{r,t} := \int_{-\infty}^t \alpha(r-s)_+^{\alpha-1} |s|^{-\gamma/2} dB(s)$$

is well defined. Indeed, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^t (r-s)_+^{2(\alpha-1)} |s|^{-\gamma} ds &= \int_{-\infty}^r (r-s)_+^{2(\alpha-1)} |s|^{-\gamma} ds \\ &= \int_0^r (r-s)^{2(\alpha-1)} s^{-\gamma} ds + \int_{-\infty}^0 (r-s)^{2(\alpha-1)} |s|^{-\gamma} ds \end{aligned}$$

$$= r^{2H} \left( \int_0^1 (1-u)^{2(\alpha-1)} u^{-\gamma} du + \int_0^\infty (1+u)^{2(\alpha-1)} u^{-\gamma} du \right) < \infty.$$

However,  $t \mapsto h_{t,t}$  is not differentiable with probability one, because of a similar reasoning. Indeed, for  $s < t$ ,

$$\int_s^t (t-u)_+^{2(\alpha-1)} u^{-\gamma} du \geq \left( \frac{t+s}{2} \right)^{-\gamma} \cdot \left( \frac{t-s}{2} \right)^{2(\alpha-1)+1},$$

and hence, by applying the result from [24] again, we see  $2(\alpha-1)+1 < 2$  or equivalently,  $\alpha < 3/2$ , the sample path of  $h$  is not differentiable with probability one. Consequently, the sample paths of  $X$  are almost nowhere differentiable for the fixed parameter  $\alpha \in (1/2, (1+\gamma)/2)$ .

Therefore, we conclude that the GFBM  $X$  is almost nowhere differentiable in the parameter set.  $\square$

## 5. FUNCTIONAL LAW OF ITERATED LOGARITHM

In this section we establish the functional Law of Iterated Logarithm (FLIL) of the GFBM  $X$  in (1.1). We refer to [21] and [22] for the FLIL of FBM. We apply Theorem A1 in [21] to prove the FLIL for the process  $X$ . We first introduce some notation and terminology.

Let  $\mathbb{C}[0, T]$  be the space of continuous functions. Recall the covariance function  $\Psi$  in (2.3), which is shown to be continuous in [19]. Let  $\mathcal{H}(\Psi)$  be the reproducing kernel Hilbert space (RKHS) with  $\Psi$  as the reproducing kernel. It is defined as the completion of the vector space spanned by the functions  $\Psi(s, \cdot)$ ,  $s \in [0, T]$ , and endowed with the scalar product

$$\left\langle \sum_i c_i \Psi(s_i, \cdot), \sum_j c'_j \Psi(s'_j, \cdot) \right\rangle = \sum_i \sum_j c_i c'_j \Psi(s_i, s'_j).$$

Let  $K := \{h \in \mathcal{H}(\Psi) : \langle h, h \rangle^{1/2} \leq 1\}$  be the unit ball of  $\mathcal{H}(\Psi)$ . The FLIL in general states that

- (a) a certain sequence of functions  $z_n$  of  $\mathbb{C}[0, T]$  is contained in an  $\epsilon$ -neighborhood of  $K$  when  $n$  is large (another way to say this, is that this sequence is relatively compact as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , namely that  $\{z_n\}$  contains a converging subsequence to an element of  $K$ ), and
- (b) the functions that are limiting points of the sequence  $\{z_n\}$  fill up the set  $K$ .

Let  $d(\cdot, \cdot)$  be the sup-norm distance in  $\mathbb{C}[0, T]$ , and  $\mathbb{C}\{z_n\}$  represents the cluster set (the set of the limit points) of the sequence  $\{z_n\}$ .

The same properties in (5.1) and (5.2) below hold for the FBM  $B^H$ . They are stated in Corollary A1 in [21], applying Theorem A1 with the reproducing kernel  $\Gamma_H(s, t) = E[B^H(s)B^H(t)]$  in (2.1), and  $K$  equal to the unit ball of  $\mathcal{H}(\Gamma_H)$ .

**Theorem 5.1.** *Let  $K$  be the unit ball of the RKHS  $\mathcal{H}(\Psi)$  with the covariance kernel  $\Psi$  in (2.3). The GFBM  $X$  in (1.1) satisfies*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d \left( \frac{X(nt)}{(2n^{2H} \log \log n)^{1/2}}, K \right) = 0, \quad a.s. \quad (5.1)$$

and

$$\mathbb{C} \left\{ \frac{X(nt)}{(2n^{2H} \log \log n)^{1/2}} \right\} = K, \quad a.s. \quad (5.2)$$

where  $H = \alpha - \gamma/2 + 1/2$ .

*Proof.* It is clear that  $\Psi(t, t)$  is strictly increasing in  $t$ . We check the three conditions (C-1), (C-2) and (C-3) in Theorem A1 in [21], that is,

(C-1)

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{0 \leq s, t \leq T} \left| \frac{E[X(rs)X(rt)]}{r^{2H}L(r)} - \Psi(s, t) \right| = 0. \quad (5.3)$$

(C-2) There is a nonnegative, strictly increasing and continuous function  $\phi$  on  $\mathbb{R}_+$  satisfying  $\int_1^\infty \phi(e^{-u^2}) du < \infty$  such that

$$E[(X(rs) - X(rt))^2] \leq \phi^2(|s - t|) r^{2H} L(r), \quad s, t \geq 0, r \geq 0. \quad (5.4)$$

(C-3)

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty, m/n \rightarrow \infty} E \left[ \frac{X(ms)}{m^H L^{1/2}(m)} \frac{X(ns)}{n^H L^{1/2}(n)} \right] = 0 \quad (5.5)$$

We take  $L(\cdot) \equiv 1$ .

For (C-1), for  $r > 0$  and  $s < t$ , we have

$$E[X(rs)X(rt)] = \Psi(rs, rt) = r^{2\alpha - \gamma + 1} \Psi(s, t), \quad (5.6)$$

which immediately implies that

$$\sup_{0 \leq s, t \leq T} \left| \frac{E[X(rs)X(rt)]}{r^{2H}} - \Psi(s, t) \right| = 0.$$

Thus, (5.3) holds.

For (C-2), as shown in Theorem 3.1 for the Hölder continuity property, for  $r > 0$  and  $s < t$ , we have

$$E[(X(rs) - X(rt))^2] \leq C_T |s - t|^{2H} r^{2H},$$

for some constant  $C_T > 0$ . Let  $\phi(t) = C_T t^{2H}$  for  $t \in [0, T]$ . It is clear that  $\phi(\cdot)$  is a nonnegative, strictly increasing and continuous function satisfying  $\int_1^\infty \phi(e^{-u^2}) du = C_T \int_1^\infty e^{-2Hu^2} < \infty$  since  $H \in (0, 1)$ . This verifies the condition (C-2).

For (C-3), for  $s < t$ , and for  $m > n > 0$  satisfying  $m/n \rightarrow \infty$  (noting that  $mt > ns$ ), we have

$$\Psi(ns, mt) = c^2 \int_0^{ns} (mt - v)^\alpha (ns - v)^\alpha v^{-\gamma} dv$$

$$+ c^2 \int_0^\infty ((mt+u)^\alpha - u^\alpha)((ns+u)^\alpha - u^\alpha)u^{-\gamma}du. \quad (5.7)$$

The first integral term is equal to

$$\int_0^s (mt-nu)^\alpha (ns-nu)^\alpha (nu)^{-\gamma} n du = \int_0^s m^\alpha \left(t - \frac{n}{m}u\right)^\alpha n^{\alpha+1-\gamma} (s-u)^\alpha u^{-\gamma} du.$$

Dividing by  $m^H n^H$ , we obtain

$$\left(\frac{n}{m}\right)^{(1-\gamma)/2} \int_0^s \left(t - \frac{n}{m}u\right)^\alpha (s-u)^\alpha u^{-\gamma} du \rightarrow 0$$

as  $n, m \rightarrow \infty$  and  $n/m \rightarrow 0$ .

The second integral term in (5.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & m^\alpha n^\alpha \int_0^\infty ((t+u/m)^\alpha - (u/m)^\alpha)((s+u/n)^\alpha - (u/n)^\alpha)u^{-\gamma}du \\ &= m^\alpha n^\alpha \int_0^\infty \left[\left(t + \frac{n}{m}r\right)^\alpha - \left(\frac{n}{m}r\right)^\alpha\right] [(s+r)^\alpha - r^\alpha] n^{-\gamma} r^{-\gamma} n dr. \end{aligned}$$

Dividing by  $m^H n^H$ , we obtain

$$\left(\frac{n}{m}\right)^{(1-\gamma)/2} \int_0^\infty \left[\left(t + \frac{n}{m}r\right)^\alpha - \left(\frac{n}{m}r\right)^\alpha\right] [(s+r)^\alpha - r^\alpha] r^{-\gamma} dr \rightarrow 0$$

as  $n, m \rightarrow \infty$  and  $n/m \rightarrow 0$ .

Thus, for  $s < t$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty, m/n \rightarrow \infty} E \left[ \frac{X(ns)}{n^H} \frac{X(mt)}{m^H} \right] = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty, m/n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n^H m^H} \Psi(ns, mt) = 0.$$

For the case  $s > t$ , we can switch  $s$  and  $t$  above in (5.7), and note that we can let  $m \gg n$  such that  $mt > ns$ . Then the same argument will follow. Therefore we have verified (5.5) in Condition (C-3). This completes the proof.  $\square$

## 6. LOCAL LAW OF THE ITERATED LOGARITHMS

For FBM  $B^H$ , the local Law of Iterated Logarithm states that with probability one,

$$\limsup_{u \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{|B^H(ut)|}{u^H \sqrt{\log \log u^{-1}}} = c_H$$

for all  $t \in (0, T]$ , as in [1]. See the equivalent expression in (3.12). For Gaussian processes, Arcones [1] has established a useful criterion to prove the local law of the iterated logarithm in Theorem 4.1. We apply that to prove the following for the GFBM  $X$  in (1.1).

**Theorem 6.1.** *For the GFBM  $X$  in (1.1), if  $\alpha > 0$ , with probability one,*

$$\limsup_{u \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{|X(ut)|}{u^H \sqrt{\log \log u^{-1}}}$$

*exists for all  $t \in (0, T]$ .*



*Proof.* We check the nine conditions in [1, Theorem 4.1]. Here we consider the interval  $[0, T]$  and use natural pseudometric  $\rho(s, t) = \sqrt{E[(X(s) - X(t))^2]} = \sqrt{\Phi(s, t)}$ . Let  $\tau(u) = u$  and  $w(u) = u^H$ . Condition (i) is evident and condition (ix) is clear since  $\Phi(s, t)$  is continuous. For (v),  $([0, T], \rho)$  is totally bounded, since  $\rho(0, T) < \infty$ . It is clear that the conditions in (vii) and (viii) holds since these functions are continuous. Condition (ix) holds since  $\Phi(s, t)$  is continuous.

For (ii), by the scaling identity of the covariance in (5.6), we obtain

$$E \left[ \frac{X(\tau(u)s)X(\tau(u)t)}{w(u)^2} \right] = E \left[ \frac{X(us)X(ut)}{u^{2H}} \right] = \Psi(s, t).$$

For (iii), we shall show that for each  $m \geq 1$ , each  $\epsilon > 0$ , each  $t_1, \dots, t_m \in (0, T]$  and each  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} \limsup_{u \rightarrow 0^+} \sup_{v: ue^{-(\log u^{-1})^r} \leq v \leq ue^{-(\log u^{-1})^\epsilon}} \sum_{j,k=1}^m \lambda_j \lambda_k E \left[ \frac{X(ut_j)X(vt_k)}{w(u)w(v)} \right] \leq 0. \quad (6.1)$$

For  $r > 0$ , let  $\varphi(u) := ue^{-(\log u^{-1})^r} < u$ . Note that  $\varphi(u)/u = e^{-(\log u^{-1})^r} \rightarrow 0$  as  $u \rightarrow 0^+$  and for  $u > 0$ ,  $\varphi(u)/u = e^{-(\log u^{-1})^r} \rightarrow u$  as  $r \rightarrow 1^-$ . Consider for  $t > s$ ,

$$E \left[ \frac{X(ut)X(\varphi(u)s)}{u^H \varphi(u)^H} \right] = \frac{1}{u^H \varphi(u)^H} \Psi(ut, \varphi(u)s),$$

where  $\Psi(\cdot, \cdot)$  has two terms as in (2.3). By the change of variables from  $v$  to  $\theta\varphi(u)s$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{u^H \varphi(u)^H} \int_0^{\varphi(u)s} (ut - v)^\alpha (\varphi(u)s - v)^\alpha v^{-\gamma} dv \\ & \leq \frac{1}{u^H \varphi(u)^H} \int_0^{\varphi(u)s} (ut)^\alpha (\varphi(u)s - v)^\alpha v^{-\gamma} dv \\ & = \frac{(ut)^\alpha (\varphi(u)s)^{\alpha-\gamma+1}}{u^H \varphi(u)^H} \int_0^1 (1-\theta)^\alpha \theta^{-\gamma} d\theta \\ & = (ts)^H \left( \frac{s}{t} \cdot \frac{\varphi(u)}{u} \right)^{(1-\gamma)/2} \cdot \text{Beta}(\alpha+1, 1-\gamma) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } u \rightarrow 0^+. \end{aligned} \quad (6.2)$$

This corresponds to the first term of  $\Psi(\cdot, \cdot)$  in (2.3). Similarly, by  $\alpha$ -Hölder continuity of function  $x \rightarrow x^\alpha$ ,  $\alpha > 0$ , we have  $(ut+v)^\alpha - v^\alpha \leq (ut)^\alpha$  and hence, again by the change of variables, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{u^H \varphi(u)^H} \int_0^\infty ((ut+v)^\alpha - v^\alpha) ((\varphi(u)s+v)^\alpha - v^\alpha) v^{-\gamma} dv \\ & \leq \frac{1}{u^H \varphi(u)^H} \int_0^\infty (ut)^\alpha (\varphi(u)s)^{\alpha-\gamma+1} ((1+\theta)^\alpha - \theta^\alpha) \theta^{-\gamma} d\theta \\ & = (ts)^H \left( \frac{s}{t} \cdot \frac{\varphi(u)}{u} \right)^{(1-\gamma)/2} \cdot \int_0^\infty ((1+\theta)^\alpha - \theta^\alpha) \theta^{-\gamma} d\theta \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } u \rightarrow 0^+. \end{aligned} \quad (6.3)$$

where the integral is finite as in (2.11). This corresponds to the second term of  $\Psi(\cdot, \cdot)$  in (2.3).

Thus, we have shown that condition (iii), i.e., (6.1) holds with equality to zero.

For (iv), we show that in probability,

$$\sup_{t \in (0, T]} \frac{|X(ut)|}{u^H (2 \log \log u^{-1})^{1/2}} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } u \rightarrow 0+.$$

By the Fernique inequality (see, e.g., [9]), we obtain for each  $u$ , there exists  $q > 0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} & P \left( \sup_{t \in (0, T]} \frac{|X(ut)|}{u^H (2 \log \log u^{-1})^{1/2}} > \epsilon \right) \\ & \leq CP \left( Z > \frac{\epsilon}{\sup_{t \in (0, T]} (u^H (2 \log \log u^{-1})^{1/2})^{-1} \Psi(ut, ut)^{1/2} + q} \right) \\ & = CP \left( Z > \frac{\epsilon}{(2 \log \log u^{-1})^{1/2})^{-1} \sup_{t \in (0, T]} (\Psi(t, t)^{1/2} + q)} \right) \\ & \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } u \rightarrow 0+, \end{aligned}$$

for all  $\epsilon \geq \epsilon_0$  for some  $\epsilon_0 > 0$  and some constant  $C > 0$ , and  $Z \sim N(0, 1)$ . This proves that condition (iv) holds.

For (vi), we show that for each  $\eta > 0$ , there exists a  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$\limsup_{\theta \rightarrow 1-} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \exp \left( \frac{-\eta (\theta^n)^{2H} \log n}{\sup_{s, t \in [0, T], \Phi(s, t) \leq \delta^2} \Phi(\theta^n s, \theta^n t)} \right) < \infty.$$

Observe that, similar to (5.6), for  $r > 0$ ,

$$\Phi(rs, rt) = r^{2H} \Phi(s, t).$$

Thus, it becomes to show that for each  $\eta > 0$ , there exists a  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \exp \left( \frac{-\eta \log n}{\delta^2} \right) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-\eta/\delta^2} < \infty,$$

which holds for any  $\delta < \sqrt{\eta}$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

## 6.1. Compositions.

We consider the composition  $X(|X(\cdot)|)$  of  $X(\cdot)$  itself. In Example 4.1 of [1], by applying its Corollary 4.2, it is shown that for FBM  $B^H$  with  $1/2 \leq H < 1$ , given  $b > 0$ , with probability one,

$$\left\{ \frac{B^H(|B^H(ub)|)}{u^{H^2} (2 \log \log u^{-1})^{(H+1)/2}} \right\}$$

is relatively compact as  $u \rightarrow 0+$  and its limit set is  $[-\sigma, \sigma]$  where  $\sigma = b^{H^2} H^{H/2} (H+1)^{-(H+1)/2}$ .

We apply [1, Corollary 4.2] to the GFBM  $X$  in (1.1). We remark that the following result requires  $\alpha > 0$ , since we need to use the  $\alpha$ -Hölder continuity of the function  $t \rightarrow t^\alpha$  in the proof. Note that when  $\gamma = 0$ , the condition  $\alpha > 0$  is equivalent to  $H > 1/2$  in the case of FBM  $B^H$ . However, for the GFBM  $X$ , as shown in Figure 2, in the region of  $\alpha > 0$  and  $\gamma \in (0, 1)$ , the range of the Hurst parameter  $H$  can cover the entire interval  $H \in (0, 1)$  (observing that when the  $\alpha \approx 0$  and  $\gamma \approx 1$ ,  $H$  can be very close to 0).

**Theorem 6.2.** *If  $\alpha > 0$ , with probability one, the set*

$$\left\{ \frac{X(|X(ub)|)}{u^{H^2}(2 \log \log u^{-1})^{(H+1)/2}}, u > 0 \right\}$$

*is relatively compact, as  $u \rightarrow 0+$ , and its limit set is  $[-\sigma, \sigma]$  where*

$$\sigma = \sup_{0 \leq r \leq \sqrt{\Psi(b,b)}} \sqrt{\Psi(r,r)}(1 - r^2/\Psi(b,b))^{1/2}, \quad a.s.$$

*Proof.* We verify the four conditions (i)-(iv) in [1, Corollary 4.2]. Condition (i) requires  $E[X(ut)X(us)] = u^{2H}E[X(t)X(s)]$ , which holds by (5.6). Condition (ii) requires that  $\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |X(t)| < \infty$  a.s. Condition (iii) requires that

$$\lim_{u \rightarrow 1^-} E[X(ut)X(t)] = E[X(t)^2] \quad \text{for each } t \geq 0.$$

This follows from the continuity of  $\Psi(s, t)$  in (2.3).

For Condition (iv), we show for each  $s, t \geq 0$ ,

$$\lim_{u \rightarrow 0^+} u^{-H} E[X(s)X(ut)] = 0.$$

By (2.3), for  $u$  small enough such that  $s > ut$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} u^{-H} E[X(s)X(ut)] &= c^2 u^{-H} \int_0^{ut} (s-v)^\alpha (ut-v)^\alpha v^{-\gamma} dv \\ &\quad + c^2 u^{-H} \int_0^\infty ((s+v)^\alpha - v^\alpha)((ut+v)^\alpha - v^\alpha) v^{-\gamma} dv. \end{aligned}$$

For the first term, by change of variables, it is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} c^2 u^H \int_0^t (s/u - v)^\alpha (t-v)^\alpha v^{-\gamma} dv &\leq c^2 u^H \int_0^t (s/u)^\alpha (t-v)^\alpha v^{-\gamma} dv \\ &= c^2 u^{H-\alpha} \int_0^t s^\alpha (t-v)^\alpha v^{-\gamma} dv \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } u \rightarrow 0^+ \end{aligned}$$

since  $H - \alpha = 1/2 - \gamma/2 > 0$ . For the second term,

$$\begin{aligned} &u^{-H} \int_0^\infty ((s+v)^\alpha - v^\alpha)((ut+v)^\alpha - v^\alpha) v^{-\gamma} dv \\ &\leq u^{-H} \int_0^\infty s^\alpha ((ut+v)^\alpha - v^\alpha) v^{-\gamma} dv \\ &= u^{-H} \int_0^\infty s^\alpha (ut)^{\alpha-\gamma+1} ((1+\theta)^\alpha - \theta^\alpha) \theta^{-\gamma} d\theta \\ &= u^{(1-\gamma)/2} s^\alpha t^{\alpha-\gamma+1} \int_0^\infty ((1+\theta)^\alpha - \theta^\alpha) \theta^{-\gamma} d\theta \\ &\rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } u \rightarrow 0^+, \end{aligned}$$

where the integral is finite as in (2.11). Thus we have verified Condition (iv). This completes the proof.  $\square$

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Tomoyuki Ichiba was supported in part by NSF grants DMS-1615229 and DMS-2008427. Guodong Pang was supported in part by CMMI-1635410, DMS/CMMI-1715875 and Army Research Office through grant W911NF-17-1-0019. Murad S. Taqqu was supported in part by a Simons Foundation grant 569118 at Boston University.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Miguel A Arcones. On the law of the iterated logarithm for Gaussian processes. *Journal of Theoretical Probability*, 8(4):877–903, 1995.
- [2] Ehsan Azmoodeh, Tommi Sottinen, Lauri Viitasaari, and Adil Yazigi. Necessary and sufficient conditions for hölder continuity of Gaussian processes. *Statistics & Probability Letters*, 94:230–235, 2014.
- [3] Shuyang Bai and Murad S Taqqu. Generalized hermite processes, discrete chaos and limit theorems. *Stochastic processes and their applications*, 124(4):1710–1739, 2014.
- [4] Ole E Barndorff-Nielsen, José Manuel Corcuera, and Mark Podolskij. Multipower variation for Brownian semistationary processes. *Bernoulli*, 17(4):1159–1194, 2011.
- [5] Ole E Barndorff-Nielsen and Jürgen Schmiegel. Brownian semistationary processes and volatility/intermittency. *Advanced financial modelling*, 8:1–26, 2009.
- [6] Andreas Basse. Spectral representation of Gaussian semimartingales. *Journal of Theoretical Probability*, 22(4):811, 2009.
- [7] Kevin E Bassler, Joseph L McCauley, and Gemunu H Gunaratne. Nonstationary increments, scaling distributions, and variable diffusion processes in financial markets. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 104(44):17287–17290, 2007.
- [8] Christian Bayer, Peter Friz, and Jim Gatheral. Pricing under rough volatility. *Quantitative Finance*, 16(6):887–904, 2016.
- [9] Simeon M Berman. An asymptotic bound for the tail of the distribution of the maximum of a Gaussian process. In *Annales de l’IHP Probabilités et statistiques*, volume 21, pages 47–57, 1985.
- [10] Francesca Biagini, Yaozhong Hu, Bernt Øksendal, and Tusheng Zhang. *Stochastic calculus for fractional Brownian motion and applications*. Springer Science & Business Media, 2008.
- [11] Jie Yen Fan, Kais Hamza, and Fima Klebaner. Mimicking self-similar processes. *Bernoulli*, 21(3):1341–1360, 2015.
- [12] Jim Gatheral, Thibault Jaisson, and Mathieu Rosenbaum. Volatility is rough. *Quantitative Finance*, 18(6):933–949, 2018.
- [13] David Hobson. Mimicking martingales. *The Annals of Applied Probability*, 26(4):2273–2303, 2016.
- [14] Claudia Klüppelberg and Christoph Kühn. Fractional brownian motion as a weak limit of Poisson shot noise processes with applications to finance. *Stochastic Processes and their Applications*, 113(2):333–351, 2004.
- [15] Paul Lévy. *Random functions: general theory with special reference to Laplacian random functions*, volume 1. University of California Press, 1953.
- [16] Giulia Livieri, Saad Mouti, Andrea Pallavicini, and Mathieu Rosenbaum. Rough volatility: evidence from option prices. *IISE transactions*, 50(9):767–776, 2018.
- [17] Benoit B Mandelbrot and John W Van Ness. Fractional brownian motions, fractional noises and applications. *SIAM review*, 10(4):422–437, 1968.
- [18] Joseph L McCauley, Kevin E Bassler, and Gemunu H Gunaratne. Martingales, nonstationary increments, and the efficient market hypothesis. *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications*, 387(15):3916–3920, 2008.
- [19] Guodong Pang and Murad S Taqqu. Nonstationary self-similar Gaussian processes as scaling limits of power-law shot noise processes and generalizations of fractional Brownian motion. *High Frequency*, 2(2):95–112, 2019.
- [20] Vladas Pipiras and Murad S. Taqqu. *Long-range dependence and self-similarity*. Cambridge Series in Statistical and Probabilistic Mathematics, [45]. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2017.
- [21] Murad S Taqqu. Law of the iterated logarithm for sums of non-linear functions of Gaussian variables that exhibit a long range dependence. *Probability Theory and Related Fields*, 40(3):203–238, 1977.
- [22] Murad S Taqqu and Claudia Czado. A survey of functional laws of the iterated logarithm for self-similar processes. *Stochastic Models*, 1(1):77–115, 1985.
- [23] Adil Yazigi. Representation of self-similar Gaussian processes. *Statistics & Probability Letters*, 99:94–100, 2015.
- [24] J Yeh. Differentiability of sample functions in Gaussian processes. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 18(1):105–108, 1967.

- [25] J Yeh. Correction to: "Differentiability of sample functions in Gaussian processes". *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 19(4):843, 1968.

DEPARTMENT OF STATISTICS & APPLIED PROBABILITY, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, SANTA BARBARA, CA 93106

*E-mail address:* `ichiba@pstat.ucsb.edu`

THE HAROLD AND INGE MARCUS DEPARTMENT OF INDUSTRIAL AND MANUFACTURING ENGINEERING, COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, PENNSYLVANIA STATE UNIVERSITY, UNIVERSITY PARK, PA 16802

*E-mail address:* `gup3@psu.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, BOSTON UNIVERSITY, BOSTON, MA 02215

*E-mail address:* `murad@math.bu.edu`